G R S 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

1903-04
(Education)

File No. 5

Serial Nos. 1-4

Papers Filed.

Month _____

SUBJECT

Petition of P Appaiah Wadiyar late drawing Master, Government Industrial School, Mysore —

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
	Lrs 3-76 — 30, 31, 52 62+66

Office Note

Mysore Government
General & Revenue Secretariat

File No (if any)

Directions —

No date and purport of receipts to be entered in red and office note in black ink in order of dates —To be written only on one side and inside the line of margin

Branch General (Education)

Subject. Petition No 2150 & the 12th March 1904 from P Appadurayy Whudayar, late Drawing master, Govt Industrial School, Mysore, appealing against the order of the I Gl of Edn dispensing with his Services

The petition was forwarded to the I Gl of Edn for report (Vide G.O. No G 5294 & 18/4/04 He has now in his present docket No 2601/387 & 29/4/04 has submitted the Report called for

The Reasons assigned by the I Gl for dispensing with the Services of the petitioner are as follows —

1) The petitioner has not obtained the diploma in drawing and his work was not satisfactory

2) That he several times absented himself on false pretexts &

3) That he mixed himself up with the late Suptt and clerk in making false claims for private work done &

4) His character was not satisfactory

The late Suptt and clerk have been ordered to be prosecuted for tampering with public Records and for misappropriating public money

P T O

A draft endorsement stating that Govt decline to interfere with the orders passed by the Dist Magt is put up.

Subd
KM
10/5/04

J. Pollen
Sec to Govt

12-5-04

Of the 3 grounds on which the Petitioner has been dismissed the first two do not seem to be entitled to much weight. No. 1 he was not responsible for the state of his office, he was over-burdened & the fact of the Deputy Dismissal is no ground for his removal. No 2 is not quite understood. If he has been 4 or 5 years absenting himself without leave, this was not the objectionable conduct on his part noticed before now? No 3 however a grave charge and however the case against the Deputy in regard to this charge might end there can be no doubt the Petitioner stands self condemned. He admittedly receives only Rs but some an acknowledgment for Rs 50 I agree to his appeal being rejected but I would pass an order conveying observations somewhat as above or other w

the action of Govt is liable to
be misunderstood by the Legislature
whose reasoning is not altogether
unquestionable

12-5-04

Ltr
13

A draft of an order as suggested above
is also put up

There are three drafts attached

13-5-04

J Dewan

17709
13 v 14

I have the
16-5-04

G.3336 / 1904

608/04 Secretary (Jdl) to L 14/3/04

Petn wpt
Call for report of details of faults
(Jdl) 18/3/04

Transfer to 5 Dn-B
7/4/4

No 250

Name of Petitioner

Village

Taluk Gudi

District

Date of Arzi 10/3/04

Date of Receipt 11/3/04

Date of Disposal

No of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

the docket no 7652/1226 dt 7/2/04 of the Inspector Lt of Echeark on dispensing the services of the petr as his work was not satisfactory as he was absent himself on false pretexts and as he misled himself with the late Superintendent and Clerk in making false claims for private work, demanded and states that he worked to the satisfaction of his superior officers, that he was not absent on false pretext that he did not make false claims for private work





From

Appadoray Swadayar Esqure
Drawing Master
Govt Industrial School
Mysore

To

Sir Seshadri Iyengar Esqure
Member of the Council to
H H The Maharajah of
Mysore Bangalore

Most Respected sir,

In submitting, herewith, an original, docket no $\frac{7652}{2226}$ dated 17/2/1904, addressed by the Inspector General of Education, Mysore, to the secretary, Govt Industrial school, Mysore, for your kind perusal, I the undersigned, P Appadoray Swadayar, drawing master, attached to Govt Industrial school, Mysore, beg respectfully to appeal and bring the following few lines for your favourable consideration with a hope to receive justice at your impartial hands

1 I have been a drawing master in the Govt Industrial school, Mysore, and I have put in, about 12 years' service and ever since I was appointed in the said Institution, I have been discharging the duties entrusted to me to the entire satisfaction of my superiors

2 I have been drawing a monthly salary of Rs 30/- To my great surprise Mr J E A D'Cruz, the present secretary of the said Institution, was pleased to issue an order to me No 208 dated

11th November 03 directing me that my services in the Industrial school, were not required from the 1st January 04

3 In the above order, he has not stated the cause or causes as to why my services were dispensed with Since my employment in the Industrial school, I was appointed as a full time Instructor on Rs 30/- per month, accordingly I used to attend the Institution from 8 to 11 a m and 2 to 5 0 m with leisure hours.

4 After some time past, Mr H J Bhabha, the Inspector General of Education, was pleased to issue an order transferring my services (only during the leisure hours) that I should work in the Govt Normal school frs 3 to 5 0 m, as a drawing master on a special remuneration of Rs 15/- per month Thus arrangement was not made at my request, but only in the interest of the public service I hear that as per a Resolution passed by the committee of the Industrial school, that a full time drawing master, on Rs 40/- per month should be appointed According to this Resolution, if it appears to be true, I had every qualification for my being appointed as per above Resolution and I am at a loss to know why the Inspector General of Education, was pleased to bring in, a separate man altogether, while I have been entitled in every respect for the post

5 In the order issued by the present secretary of the Institution, it is distinctly, stated that my services were not required from the 1st January 04 whereas the school authorities have taken charge from me, by a separate order altogether, from the 7th December 03 This inconsistancy has to be resolved thorough investigation

6 As regards the grounds quoted by the Inspector General of Education in the docket herewith submitted I beg to point out

Firstly that I have given entire satisfaction to all the Honorary secretaries namely Mr Standish Lee, Mr D Seetha Rama Row and Mr A S Nagawakar to whom references may be made, and ascertained the fact the way in which I was working under their orders, and how I behaved according to their opinion. The boys in the several classes of the Industrial School were doing well and producing fair progress so long as the centre for the Govt, Technical Examination was at Madras, and it is only after the centre was transferred to Mysore, the progress of the school is going on unfair, as many irregularities are being observed at the time of the said Govt, Examination To justify the truth of the above Statement, I have already reported to the present secretary of the school the irregularities connected with the examination in the month of November 1903, when the examination took place

7 Secondly the Inspector General of Education cites that I absented, myself on false pretext several times In this connection I beg to say that with regard to the false reports made by the late Superintendant against me to the Inspector General Mr A S Nagawakar, the then Honorary secretary of the Institution made enquiries and submitted a true statement to the Inspector General on receipt of which Mr H J. Bhabha was pleased to forward docket No $\frac{6547-8}{15\ 35}$ dated 28th January 03 the copy of which is herewith submitted for your kind perusal

8 Thirdly I did not make any false claims for private work done having mixed myself with the late Superintendant and clerk. In this connection I beg to state that the Inspector General may be asked in what respect I mixed myself with the late Superintendant and clerk I honestly say I had no such connections whatever

9 The file of correspondence, the questions of memo. and answers on certain points issued by Mr Sree-nivasa Row, the deputy Inspector of schools, South circle, Mysore, is, herewith submitted for your kind perusal

10 The Inspector General without calling for the records bearing on the subject, and without deciding the case, as justice may require, vainly says that I mixed myself with the late Superintendant

For which act of kindness I shall ever pray for your long life and prosperity

I beg to remain sir
yours most obedient servant
C. Thyagaraja Vinayar
Drawing Master
Door no 1092 Zoo Gardan Road
Ittigagood
Mysore

12th March 1904
Mysore

True Copies

From H J Bhabha to The Secretary Industrial & School docket No $\frac{7652}{2226}$ dated 17/2/1904

With reference to his docket No 347 of 5/2/04 has the honour, to inform that Appadoray's services were dispensed with as his work was not satisfactory, as he several times absented himself on false pretexts and as he mixed himself up with the late Superintendent and clerk in making false claims for private work done

(Sd) C Vasudavaya
For Inspector General

2 From H J Bhabha to A S Nagawakar honorary Secretary Industrial School mysore docket No $\frac{6547}{1535}$ dated 29th January 1903

With reference to memo dated 27th January 1903 of the Honorary Secretary Govt Industrial School has the honour to inform that under the circumstances stated Appadoray Bhudayar drawing master of the Mysore Government Industrial school is released from suspension with effect from 24th November 1902 The Superintendent should have communicated the order of suspension to him at Madras as the school writer had the address of the drawing master He not only did not do so but he failed also to report to the Inspector General the return to duty of the drawing master as he ought to have done because the suspension was until further orders Evidently the superintendent

8 has shown a distinct inclination to be unjustly severe on the drawing master. The pay of Appadoray up to 26th October 1902 ought to have been disbursed to him on his return to Mysore. The period of Appadoray's suspension will extend from 27th Oct 2 23rd November 1902 both days inclusive and the fact of the suspension will not entail forfeiture of his previous services. A copy of this order has been sent to the Superintendent for information & guidence

(sd) A J Bhabha

Inspector General

Statement given to the ~~Superintendent~~ Deputy Inspector of schools south circle Mysore on 22nd July 1903

3

I Memo No

To P. Appadoray whudayar drawing master

1 Is a sum of forty (Rs 40) due to you for free-hand cards to be supplied by you ?

2 Have you received any advance already ? if so how much

3 From whom you have received the money advance

4 Have you supplied the wall cards ? where are they

You are requested to answer the above questions below

(sd)

Answer

1 Yes Rs 40/- due to me if I were to supply 9 cards more

2 Yes received Rs 10/- as advance

3 From the late Superintendent Mr M V Srinivasa

9 Iyengar

4 I have supplied only 16 free hand wall cards they are all to be found in the store room

(In the first place Mr Sreenivasa Row deputy Inspector of schools, after he received all my statements to his questions, he made fully known all of them to the late superintendent and then he took the statements from the superintendent, which is quite contrary to the Indian Evidence Act)

II To P Appadoray Pandayar

There is a counter foil receipt No 26 dated 11/8/99 signed by you for having received (Rs 50/- fifty, of the account of supplying free hand wall cards to the school under this circumstances how can you claim that Rs 40/- forty is due to you on this account (sd)

Answer

II I beg to submit that in the month of may 1899 or so that Superintendent of the Institution asked me to give him receipt for Rs 50/- to adjust from account of the Institution I put off giving him the receipt asked for as I was not supplied to meet the said amount and finally on the 11th August 99 I gave him a receipt for Rs 50/- of which I had received Rs 10/- in advance The remaining Rs 40/- was promised by the Superintendent to be paid to me on my supplying the remaining 9 free hand wall cards according to the design to be given by him.

He never gave me any design though repeatedly requested and in the absence of which I was not able to supply the cards required by him and the balance of Rs 40/- was not paid to me The receipt was only given to him on the ground of belief Two days previous I gave the receipt to the Superintendent I remember there is a similar instant namely Jyaswamy Naidoo the Cattan master of the Institution gave the superintendent receipt though the amount was not paid to him The occurrence took place about 4 years ago and I recollect only the above statements out of my poor memory

4 To A J Bhabha Inspector General Bangalore

Respected Sir

I, the undersigned Appadoray Whudayar drawing master Govt Industrial school Mysore, beg respectfully to bring the following few lines for your favourable consideration with a hope to receive full of justice at your impartial hands

In connection with some defalcations committed by the late superintendent of the Institution in regard to the maintenance of accounts in the said Institution, I request to submit that my pay for July 1903 has been, all of a sudden stopped with no notice whatever, for the same to me on account of which I am put to much inconvenience with regard to my livelihood The alleged misappropriation of Rs 50/- was never paid to me in a lumpsum but I received

only Rs 10/-, in advance from the Superintendent

With regard to the same point, a memo of question was sent to me by Mr Sreenivasa Row, the deputy Inspector of schools, south circle Mysore on the 22nd July 03 who was examining the accounts in questio. and I replied all the questions a copy of which is herewit submitted for your kind perusal

It will be observed from the above, that I gave to the Superintendent for submission of yearly accounts, in the absence of the real payment In the meantime, I beg to add that the superintendent received a receipt from Iyaswamy Mudoo puttan master like he received from the Superintendent for Rs 130/- on Rs 150/- duly stamped without making any payment whatever This may be enquired into and you will be convinced of the truth of my statement or otherwise

In connection with this a receipt given by the clerk Mr Venkatasubbiah to the effect that Rs 40/- mo. was due to me is herewith submitted for ready reference I believe you will be pleased to observe from the above that payment of Rs 40/- was not at all made to me

Under the above circumstances I request you will kindly send for the Connected papers on the subject and order the payment of my pay for the month of July 03 and subsequent period, thus protecting your poor and helpless servant I beg to remain respectfully sir your most obedient servant (sd) V Upadhyaya Vidwan

4/9/03

5 To The Deputy Inspector South, circle Mysore

Respected sir

As the Inspector asked the voucher given by the writer I subbiah I have herewith enclosed the same in original Ch 11/8/99 The Superintendent of the Institution, called me personally and enquired me how many free-hand wall cards I have done till then & I had been ordered by him to supply 20 wall cards at Rs 2 1/2 - each and accordingly had taken in advance Rs 10/- from him and had supplied him with some cards which when numbered then there were 16 cards in his office room only Then the superintendent told me that he would give me design for the remaining four and asked me to give bill for supplying the cards and receipt for Rs 50/- as the accounts was urgently needed to be sent to Govt at the close of the year

When I objected to give receipt for Rs 50/- without receiving the balance of Rs 40/- and without supplying the cards in full The superintendent told me that the money would go to savings if I do not give him receipt for Rs 50/-, and that I would not get the money in future for my troubles of having done the said 16 wall cards

Upon which I refused to give receipt, further I was told that if I have no belief in him that he would give a voucher for Rs 40/- to which I consented. Then he called the writer to his office room and told him the above said particulars and got the said

13 voucher written by him and gave the same (voucher) to me Then I gave the receipt for Rs 50/-

He made a separate bill for supplying the wall cards instead of the previous one making some alterations that is, the rate was reduced to Rs 2/- from Rs 2½/- and the wall cards were raised to 25 from 20 to which also I agreed and consented to supply the remaining 9 cards

When I often asked the superintendent for design he was telling to-day, to-morrow and gave me no design till now Even when I asked him for money he was telling me that he would give design and that he would give money after I made the wall cards as per his design He neither gave me design nor money till now

23/10/03

I beg to remain Sir
your most obedient servant
(Sd) P Appadoray Pillay

Copy of the voucher

A formal receipt has been obtained from the Drawing Master for Rs 50/- from which only Rs 10/- actually paid balance Rs 40/- due to him until this date

(Sd) Venkata Subbiah

11/8/99

D

To

The Dist of Bel-
lu Mysore

No 4 s 294 Ed
Dt 18-4-04 ✓

In forwarding herewith petition No 2150 Dt the 12th March 1904 from P Appadurai Mudaliar, late drawing master, Industrial School, Mysore, to complaining against the order of the Dist removing him from the Service and praying for reinstatement, has the honor to request that he will be so good as to submit a Report on the ~~allegations made~~ several points urged by

the pet names and the
faults for which he was
were dispensed with

By order

for Secretary

14/4/04

Edn 78]



From

H J BIRCH, M.A.,
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION
G.3642 IN MYSORE

To L Anantaswami Rao Esq B.A,
Offg Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts,
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched 29th April 1904

Received

General No 9601
No 387

Enclosures one

With reference to General Secretary's Docket No 5294 dated 18th April 1904, has the honour to inform that the grounds for the dismissal of P Appaduray Vindayar, late Drawing Master of the Industrial School, Mysore have been briefly stated in this office docket. No 7652/2226 dated 17th February 1904 which is quoted by him in his petition. They are repeated at greater length below.

(1) Appaduray has not obtained the diploma in drawing and was inefficient as a teacher, his deficiencies

MGCPB—2,600—31-10-02

being made up by the late Superintendent who had high qualifications in Drawing. After the dismissal of the late Superintende it became absolutely necessary to engage the services of a good drawing master

(2) Yearly every year about the time of the Technical Examinations in November - Abbaduray used to go away to Madras without obtaining leave for the purpose of appearing at the Examinations in Drawing - He tried the same game in 1902, and as he pretended illness this time, when he was called upon to produce a medical certificate, he was unable to produce a satisfactory certificate

(3) His character was not satisfactory - A sum of Rs 50 was sanctioned for making about 25 wall cards for drawing. The drawing master was to prepare them according to the designs of the late Superintendent. Abbaduray signed a receipt for having received Rs 50/- but now says that he received Rs 10 only from the late Superintendent. This discrepancy is one of the charges against the late Superintendent - Very few wall cards, hardly three or four, appear to have been prepared by the Drawing master. Torn fragments of a few cards are all that I could find in stock. The fact alleged by him that he gave the receipt for Rs 50 to serve as a voucher, when he had received only Rs 10, points to his complicity with the Superintendent in defrauding Government - This admission is by itself a sufficient ground for dismissal, as he is a person of fair education and ought to have known that he signed a false receipt. The petition enclosed in the docket under reply is herewith returned with thanks

H J Bhabha
Director General

Docket N 9601/347 of the 29th April 1904 from the Dy Gl of Police in Mysore reporting with Reference to this office docket No 5294 of the 18th Idem that the petitioner P Appadoray Whudayar late drawing master of the Industrial School at Mysore was dismissed from the Service on the following grounds

That he has not obtained the Diploma in drawing and was inefficient as a teacher his deficiencies being made up by the late Supdt who had high qualifications drawing

2 That he several times absented himself without obtaining leave &

3 That he mixed himself

No G 57876 Gl

Govt Genl and Rev Sectt

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S OFFICE~~,

Genl Estt BRANCH.

Dated Bangalore 7—5— 1904.

Endorsement granted to P Appadurai Whudayar late drawing Instructor, Govt Industrial School, Mysore, residing at Door No 1092, Zoo Garden Road, Ittigagood Mysore, —— in reply to his Petition Registered No 2150 dated 12/3/04 appealing against the order of the Dy Gl of Estt in Mysore, dispensing with his services

Petitioner is informed that Govt find, after a perusal of the papers, that there are no ~~Reasons~~ Grounds for interference with the orders passed by the Dy Gl of Estt dispensing with his services and that his appeal petition is accordingly Rejected

18683
14.V.04

By Order,

10/5/04

offg Secretary.

P 20

Copy

To The Legl of Engs in Theatre
in continuation of G-O No
8

By order

Offg Secy
Vcm
13/5/01